

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/482,679	01/14/2000	Uwe Heitmann	31653-152135	7334
75	590 12/10/2001			
Robert Kinberg Venable P.O. Box 34385			EXAMINER	
			LOPEZ, CARLOS N	
Washington, Do	C 20043-9998		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1731	વ
			DATE MAILED: 12/10/2001	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		17018 B
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
· :	09/482,679	HEITMANN, UWE
· Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Carlos Lopez	1731
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by set any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. a reply within the statutory minimum of the eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC statute, cause the application to become a	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·	
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice ur		
Disposition of Claims	a.	
4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the applica	tion.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	hdrawn from consideration.	•
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	ind/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐	accepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection		
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _		disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required	in reply to this Office action.	٠
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received in	Application No
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation * See the attached detailed Office action for 	al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))).
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dor		
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign languag 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do	e provisional application has	been received.
Attachment(s)	, ,	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N	8) 5) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and Trademark Office	-(-,, 5) 5/10/.	

Application/Control Number: 09/482,679

Art Unit: 1731

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

The amendment file on 10/05/01 has been entered as Paper No.7.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Orihara et al (US 5967146). Orihara discloses a shredded tobacco supplying apparatus for a cigarette-manufacturing machine (Columns 7-9). The tobacco supplying apparatus comprising of a concave-curved guide track (38); guide track having a generatrix based on a uniform generating curve created by a plurality of air flow jets (40,42,43) in conveyance with fiber stream (Fig. 3). As for claims 2-4, 6, and 7, the guide track (38) comprises of track segments having extruded sections wherein the borders of the track segments provide an upstream and down stream nozzle wall for which air jets (40,42,43) flow through. As for claim 5, a common pressure chamber (60) is connected with the plurality of air jets (40,42,43) via pressure line (104). Orihara et al anticipate claims 1-7.

Application/Control Number: 09/482,679

Art Unit: 1731

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 10/05/01 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that claim 1 is distinguished from the prior art because it does not have a low power consumption over the prior art. However, it is noted that the said features upon which applicant relies is not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). Applicant further argues that Orihara provides a curved surface by a series of steps. However, claim 1 does not limit curved surfaces formed without steps. Hence, it is maintained that Orihara still reads on claim 1.

Applicant argues that claim 3, patentably distincts the claimed invention from the prior art because it fails to teach a downstream wall in a "steady convex curvature". However, claim 3 only broadly provides for "a wall" to be of "steady convex curvature" which as explicitly shown in figure 3 of Orihara, element 38a, is a downstream wall in a "steady convex curvature". While applicant's sought invention may possibly be to have the distal end of 38a, adjacent to the opening 40 of Orihara, be of a "steady convex curvature", Applicant is not claiming said sought invention in the present recitation of claim 3. Additionally if Applicant amends claim 3 to recite said possible sought invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to have modified Orihara's curved surface 38a at the distal end adjacent to opening 42 to be steady convex in order to provide a more uniform fluid flow of the jet stream as is well known in fluid dynamics.

Application/Control Number: 09/482,679

Art Unit: 1731

Applicant further argues claim 6 additionally recites a plurality of individual guide tracks. Figure 3 of Orihara shows the guide track comprising of segments 38a and those segments interrupted by openings 40,42 and 44. The term "individual" does not provide an additional structure distinguishing it from Orihara.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carlos Lopez whose telephone number is (703) 605-1174. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Fri. 8am-5pm (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman can be reached on (703) 308-3837. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)

Art Unit: 1731

Page 5

305-7718 for regular communications and (703) 305-3599 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0651.

C.L

December 7, 2001

JOSÉ FORTUNA PATENT EXAMINER